

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF RICHMOND COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA**

SAM NICHOLSON AND ALL
OTHER PERSONS OR ENTITIES
SIMILARLY SITUATED,
PLAINTIFFS

v.

HOOTERS OF AUGUSTA, INC.
AND BAMBI CLARK, D/B/A
VALUE-FAX OF AUGUSTA,
DEFENDANTS

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO;
95-RCCV-616

SPECIAL VERDICT

We, the jury, after considering the evidence and applicable law given in charge,
answer the following questions for determination in this case:

1) Were the Hooters facsimile advertisements transmitted to plaintiffs' facsimile machines?

Yes ✓ No _____

2) If so, were they transmitted without prior express invitation or permission?

Yes ✓ No _____

3) If you answered questions #1 and #2 yes, how many times were such facsimile advertisements transmitted to the plaintiffs' class?

6

4) Were the Hooters' facsimile advertisements transmitted willfully or knowingly?

Yes ✓ No _____

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of March, 2001.

JURY FOREPERSON